

Does your loved one with cognitive symptoms need to see a doctor? Check it on-line

Luis Agüera-Ortiz^{1, 2}, Manuel Martín-Carrasco^{2, 3}, Enrique Arriola-Manchola⁴, Pablo Martinez-Lage⁵, David Á. Pérez-Martínez⁶, Tomás Ojea⁷, Begoña Soler López^{8*}, Guillermo García-Ribas⁹

¹Psychiatry Department, Instituto de Investigación Hospital 12 de Octubre (i + 12), Spain, ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Spain, ³Clínica Padre Menni, Spain, ⁴Fundación Matía, Spain, ⁵Area of Neurology, Fundación CITA-Alzheimer Fundazioa, Spain, ⁶Servicio de Neurología, Instituto de Investigación i+12, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Spain, ⁷Hospital Regional Universitario Carlos Haya, Spain, ⁸E-C-BIO, S.L., Spain, ⁹Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, IRICYS, Spain

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience

Article type: Brief Research Report Article

Manuscript ID: 840200

Received on: 29 Dec 2021

Revised on: 19 Jun 2022

Journal website link: www.frontiersin.org



Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

All the authors contributed to the conceptualization, methodology design, and review of the analysis of the study data. García Ribas G drafted the manuscript, all other authors contributed to the final form and approved the manuscript.

Keywords

cognitive decline, cognitive symptoms, memory problems, Dementia, Online questionnaire, Alzhaimer's disease, IQCODE, AD8

Abstract

Word count: 212

Widespread access to emerging information and communication technologies (ICT) allows its use for screening of diseases in the general population. At the initiative of the Spanish Confederation of Associations of Families of People with Alzheimer's disease and other dementias (CEAFA), a website (http://www.problemasmemoria.com) has been created that provides information about Alzheimer's disease and include questionnaires to be completed by family or friends concerned about memory problems of a relative. A cross-sectional, randomized, multicenter study was performed to evaluate feasibility, validity, and user satisfaction with an electronic method of completion versus the current method of paper-based questionnaires for clinically dementia screening completed by the informants: the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) and the Alzheimer's disease-8 screening test (AD8). A total of 111 pairs were recruited by seven memory clinics. Informants completed IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires both in their paper and electronic versions. The correlation between paper and electronic versions was significantly positive for IQCODE (r= 0.98; p< 0.001) and AD8 (r= 0.96; p< 0.001). The execution time did not differ significantly, and participants considered their use equally easy. This study shows that an electronic version of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires are suitable for its on-line use via internet and achieve the same results as the traditional paper versions.

Contribution to the field

This is the first study to compare an informant-based method of cognitive impairment screening on paper with its online version. The electronic versions of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires presented on the website www.problemasmemoria.com constitute a valid and reliable method, comparable to the paper versions for dementia and cognitive impairment detection, with high rates of acceptability by informants evaluating the subjects, who perform this activity in a reasonably short time. These results warrant further studies to validate the diagnostic performance of the electronic versions administered on-line and their contribution to reduce the time to diagnosis and improve early detection of AD and other dementias.

Funding statement

CEAFA Spanish Confederation of Associations of Families of People with Alzheimer's disease and other dementias sponsored the study.

Ethics statements

Studies involving animal subjects

Generated Statement: No animal studies are presented in this manuscript.

Studies involving human subjects

Generated Statement: The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Hospital Regional Universitario Carlos Haya. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Inclusion of identifiable human data

Generated Statement: No potentially identifiable human images or data is presented in this study.

Data availability statement

Generated Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.



Does your loved one with cognitive symptoms need to see a doctor? Check it on-line.

- 1 Agüera-Ortiz L^{1,2}, Martín-Carrasco M^{2,3}, Arriola-Manchola E⁴, Martínez-Lage P⁵, Pérez-
- 2 Martínez D⁶, Ojea T⁷, Soler-López B^{8*}, García-Ribas G⁹
- ¹Psychiatry Department, Instituto de Investigación Hospital 12 de Octubre (i + 12) Madrid (Spain)
 e-mail: laguera@ucm.es
- ⁵ ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid (Spain).
- 6 ³Clínica Padre Menni, Pamplona (Spain), e-mail: martincarrasco.manuel@gmail.com
- 7 ⁴Fundación Matía, Donostia-San Sebastián (Spain), e-mail, enrique.arriola@matiafundazioa.net
- ⁵Area of Neurology, Fundación CITA-Alzheimer Fundazioa, Donostia-San Sebastián (Spain), e-mail,
 pmlage@cita-alzheimer.org
- 10 ⁶Servicio de Neurología, Instituto de Investigación i+12, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre,
- 11 Madrid (Spain), e-mail, daperezm@gmail.com
- ⁷Hospital Regional Universitario Carlos Haya, Málaga (Spain), e-mail, tomojea@hotmail.com
- 13 ⁸E-C-BIO, S.L. Departamento Médico, Madrid (Spain), e-mail, bsoler@ecbio.net
- ⁹Servicio de Neurología, Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, IRICYS, Madrid (Spain), e-mail,
 <u>ggribas@salud.madrid.org</u>

16 * Correspondence:

- 17 Begoña Soler López, Medical Director, E-C-BIO, S.L.
- 18 c/ Rosa de Lima, 1, Edificio ALBA, Office 016; 28230 Las Rozas (Madrid) Spain.
- 19 Tel: +34 91 630 04 80; Fax: +34 91 858 29 00; Email: bsoler@ecbio.net
- 20 ORCID: 0000-0001-5853-2307
- 21 Keywords: Cognitive decline1, cognitive symptoms2, memory problems3, dementia4, online
- 22 questionnaires, Alzheimer6, IQCODE7, AD88
- 23
- 24 Number of words: 2739
- 25 Number of figures:0
- 26 Number of tables: 2

27 Abstract

- 28 Widespread access to emerging information and communication technologies (ICT) allows its use for
- 29 screening of diseases in the general population. At the initiative of the Spanish Confederation of
- 30 Associations of Families of People with Alzheimer's disease and other dementias (CEAFA), a
- 31 website (http://www.problemasmemoria.com) has been created that provides information about
- 32 Alzheimer's disease and include questionnaires to be completed by family or friends concerned about
- 33 memory problems of a relative. A cross-sectional, randomized, multicenter study was performed to
- 34 evaluate feasibility, validity, and user satisfaction with an electronic method of completion versus the
- 35 current method of paper-based questionnaires for clinically dementia screening completed by the
- 36 informants: the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) and the
- Alzheimer's disease-8 screening test (AD8). A total of 111 pairs were recruited by seven memory
 clinics. Informants completed IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires both in their paper and electronic
- chines. Informatis completed IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires both in their paper and electronic
 versions. The correlation between paper and electronic versions was significantly positive for
- 40 IQCODE (r= 0.98; p< 0.001) and AD8 (r= 0.96; p< 0.001). The execution time did not differ
- 41 significantly, and participants considered their use equally easy. This study shows that an electronic
- 42 version of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires are suitable for its on-line use via internet and
- 43 achieve the same results as the traditional paper versions.

44 **1** Introduction

- 45 Dealing with the negative consequences of population aging is one of the most important endeavors
- 46 that health and care-giving systems face, globally. Dementia, particularly Alzheimer's disease (AD),
- 47 constitutes a fundamental part of this challenge [1]. Among the problems posed by these diseases,
- 48 procrastinated diagnosis stands out, in particular, leading to delayed management. The existence of
- 49 effective secondary prevention measures [2] and palliative care [3] makes the delay in diagnosis even
- 50 more excruciating. It is estimated that even in developed countries, only 20%-50% of patients are
- 51 correctly diagnosed [4].
- 52 To improve the early detection of AD several strategies have been proposed, with varying degrees of
- 53 clinical applicability and cost-effectiveness. These include routine screening of the general
- 54 population, patients seen in primary care or nursing home residents [5, 6]. It should be noted that
- 55 biomarkers with good properties of sensitivity and specificity and readily applicable to asymptomatic
- or early symptomatic populations at risk are not yet available [7, 8]. This leads to an initial disease
- 57 suspicion still based on cognitive and/or functional complaints that are noticed by patients and/or
- 58 relatives and considered abnormal enough to seek a consultation [9]. Most screening techniques are 59 based on the assessment of the affected subject, raising the issue of lack of awareness of illness, often
- already present in the initial stages of it [10, 11], and strongly affecting the initiative or willingness to
- 61 be evaluated. In addition, the practical difficulties of conducting a direct assessment of many
- 62 potential patients have led to considering distance interviews, either by telephone [12], or the internet
- 63 [13, 14].
- 64 Furthermore, although the role of relatives and/or proxies in the support and care of the patient with
- AD is fundamental and well established [15], their role in the early detection of symptoms as the first
- step leading to the correct diagnosis is often limited and unrecognized [16]. The delay in a correct
- 67 assessment of the importance of initial symptoms is usually due to incorrect attribution of these
- 68 symptoms to aging or other clinical entities, such as depression [17]. Patients and proxies may
- 69 usually have doubts as to whether a particular symptom should lead to seek consultation or not.

- 70 Taking all the evidence so far, it seems that a good way to enhance the detection of AD in its early
- 71 stages would be to make available internet-based screening tests for proxies of potential patients with
- 72 cognitive impairment.
- 73

74 This is the main motivation for carrying out the AIPAD-online study described below. Its aim is to

demonstrate the validity of the online application of a screening test for cognitive impairment, based

- on the evaluation of an informant with good knowledge of the patient, versus its traditional paper
- 77 form.

78 2 Methods

- A randomized, multicenter, cross-sectional study was designed to analyze the feasibility, validity and
- 80 user satisfaction with the electronic completion method as compared to the usual paper-based
- 81 standard method.

82 After approval by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital Regional Universitario Carlos Haya, the

83 study was conducted in the Departments of Neurology, Geriatrics or Psychiatry of seven centers

84 distributed across the Spanish territory. A convenience sample of 100 to 120 caregivers was

85 estimated, allowing half of the participants starting with electronic completion method and the other

86 half starting with paper-based method of questionnaire completion.

- 87 Inclusion criteria comprised subjects older than 50 years who attend as caregivers of outpatients in a
- 88 specialized memory clinic. The caregiver (informants) must have sufficient knowledge of the
- 89 patient, usually a first-degree relative or partner living in the same patient's home, as required by the

90 screening paper versions of the test, and willing to sign an informed consent. Informants having any

91 physical or mental problem were excluded.

92 The primary objective of the AIPAD-online study was the evaluation of the feasibility of the

93 electronic version of two questionnaires for dementia screening, namely IQCODE (Informant

94 Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly) short version [18], and AD8 (Alzheimer's

- 95 Disease) [19] and their correlation with the traditional paper version previously translated and
- 96 validated into Spanish [20-23]. Both questionnaires were completed by the same informant.
- 97 Informants completed both the electronic and paper versions of the questionnaires one at the
- 98 beginning of the visit and the other at the end. The version order was randomly assigned. A website
- 99 was developed [www.problemasmemoria.com] and sponsored by the Spanish Confederation of
- 100 Associations of Families of People with Alzheimer's disease and other Dementias (CEAFA) for the
- 101 electronic version of the questionnaires, and previously validated paper version were used [20-23].
- 102 The sentence formulation of the items was identical in both versions.
- 103 The IQCODE questionnaire is a tool for detection of cognitive impairment and dementia in older

104 people that is completed by a caregiver or family member with a relationship with the patient for at

- 105 least five previous years. The short version of the IQCODE can be completed in 10-15 minutes with
- almost no influence of education [18]. The questions refer to the situation of the elderly person
- 107 compared to the one they presented five or ten years ago. Each question is answered with a five-point
- 108 Likert-type scale with scores ranging from 1 (to 5: Much improvement = 1 point; Little improved = 2
- 109 points; It has hardly changed = 3 points; It has gotten a little worse = 4 points; It has gotten very bad
- 110 = 5 points. The total score is calculated by the sum of the scores divided by 17, so the final score

- 111 range is 1 to 5 points. A higher score means greater cognitive decline. Cronbach's alpha coefficient
- has been calculated in seven studies, with a range of 0.93-0.97. The total score can also be calculated
- 113 with the sum of the scores for each question, with a range of 17 to 85 points [18].
- 114 The AD8 questionnaire is a very brief informant questionnaire containing just 8 yes/no questions. Its
- diagnostic accuracy for both cognitive decline, dementia and AD has been subjected to rigorous
- validation. The total score of the AD8 is equal to the number of affirmative answers [19].
- 117 Demographic variables of the patients and informants, questionnaire results in both versions, the time
- 118 for completion of the questionnaires in both systems, and a questionnaire for satisfaction and
- usability of both versions were collected in an ease-of-use Likert type scale ranging from 1 (not easy
- 120 at all) to 5 (very easy). To obtain a description of the sample, in subsequent visits, clinical diagnostic
- 121 impression was collected, based on the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable AD as patients with
- 122 Alzheimer disease was the only diagnosis observed [24], or Petersen criteria for mild cognitive
- 123 impairment [25]. No other dementia severity assessment was recorded for the study.
- 124 Statistical analysis included descriptive quantitative and qualitative variables of the sample and the
- 125 Spearman's correlation between the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires in their paper versus electronic
- versions. The SPSS 14.0 statistical analysis program (Chicago, IL.) for the study of the data was
- 127 used.

128 **3** Results

- 129 A sample of 118 cases in electronic format and 113 cases in paper format was obtained. Seven
- 130 questionnaires/patients did not meet the inclusion criteria and consequently the final sample consisted
- 131 of 111 cases for which information was available both electronically and in paper format. A total of
- 132 73 patients (65.8%) and 75 of informants (65,6%) were women. Mean age was 77.8 years-old (range,
- 133 60-97) for patients and 57.4 years-old (range, 32-92) for informants.
- 134 The most frequent educational levels of patients were basic education (ISCED levels 1-2.
- 135 REFERENCIA (International Standard Classification of Education, ISCED 2011, UNESCO)in 66
- patients (59.5%), Upper secondary education (ISCED level 3) in 13 patients (11.7%), and university
- education (ISCED levels 4-8) in 21 patients (18.9%). Eleven patients (9.9%) did not have education
- level. For the informants, the percentages were 29 (26.1%) with basic education, 39 (35.1%) with
- 139 upper secondary education and 42 (37.8%) with university education, with only one informant
- 140 (0.9%) without education level. The type of relationship of patients with the informants was most
- 141 commonly a sibling in 57 (51.8%) and partner in 30 (27.3%). Most informants, 79 (71.2%), saw the
- patient daily, 19 (17.1%) saw the patient every 2-3 days, and 12 (10.8%) saw the patient once-a-
- 143 week. In one case (0.9%) the patient was visited once a month. Reasons for the consultation were
- 144 memory loss in 66 patients (60%), behavioral disorder in 10 patients (9.1%) and cognitive
- 145 impairment not otherwise specified in 14 patients (12.7%). Combined consultation reason was
- 146 observed in 21 patients (21%). Data was not detailed in one patient.
- 147 A total of 57 patients (51.3%) included met the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable AD and 42
- 148 (39.3%) of them met the criteria for mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Four patients were not
- 149 classified for either MCI or probable AD.
- Total scores of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires are displayed in Table 1. In the case of the total scores calculated as a sum of the responses, the scores were converted to a percentage scale to make

- 152 them more readily interpretable. No significant statistical differences were observed in the mean
- 153 scores between electronic and paper questionnaires versions.
- 154 User satisfaction was very similar for electronic and paper versions (Table 1). Spearman's correlation
- 155 coefficient was calculated to analyze the degree of association between easiness of completion of the
- local electronic and paper versions of the IQCODE (r = 0.84) and AD8 (r = 0.88) questionnaires.
- 157 Correlations were high, positive, and statistically significant (p < 0.01). Consistent with this high
- degree of association between the electronic and paper versions, there were not statistically
- 159 differences between the ease of completion of the two versions of the IQCODE and AD8
- 160 questionnaires. Completion times of the scales were similar, although slightly higher in the case of
- electronic versions (Table 1). Also, internal consistency and reliability analysis was high for both test
- 162 in paper and electronic versions (Table 1).
- 163 The analysis of the correlation in the two versions of the tests, convergent validity, were very high as 164 shown in Table 2.

165 **4 Discussion**

166 This is the first study to compare an informant-based method of cognitive impairment screening on

- 167 paper with its online version, showing no significant statistical differences between both
- administration methods Methods of screening for AD by traditional methods usually questionnaires
- 169 on paper that are self-completed or completed by an informant have shown good predictive
- achievement [26]. The performance of similar procedures through a website involves uncertainties
- related to the ecological environment of the application of the test or questionnaire that raise
- questions that this study aims to answer. These questions primarily involve the fact of whether there
- are any differences when answering to the questionnaire through the computer media compared to
- the traditional method by people with varying degrees of familiarity with the use of computers,
- 175 especially informants of a certain age.
- 176 In addition, the design of the website containing the evaluation procedure should have specific
- 177 characteristics of simplicity, ease of use and minimization of use options to reduce variability. The
- 178 creation of the website www.problemasmemoria.com containing the assessment questionnaires
- entailed a series of discussions by experts and reviewing various versions until arriving at the final
- 180 version, which is the one that was tested and that appears at the website above. Its content includes 181 basic data in relation to both the person being evaluated and the evaluator, and additional information
- of a clinical nature concerning the individual being evaluated. The fundamental core of the website
- includes the assessment of the potential patient. This is done through the versions validated in Spain
- of the two questionnaires that are most widely used for the detection of dementia based on the data
- 185 given by an informant: the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires [27-29]. The inclusion of both
- 186 instruments was designed to compare the performance of both questionnaires and possibly to decide
- 187 using only one in the case of develop a shortened version for the website.
- 188 Questionnaires were selected based on the evaluation of the informant to avoid the tendency of
- 189 patients with cognitive impairment to minimize their deficits and therefore unconsciously distort the
- 190 results and also because, despite its convenience, these potential patients may not want to cooperate
- 191 in assessing their own cognitive or functional abilities.
- 192 The sample taken for the comparative study of the online and paper versions of the two assessment
- instruments does not differ from the population that regularly came for specialized consultation for
- 194 memory or cognitive complaints from the socio-demographic point of view and neither in relation to

- 195 caregivers. It should be noted that the highest proportion of caregivers was made up by children of
- 196 the person being evaluated, who had a nearly daily relationship with the person.

197 The results of the paper and online versions of the two questionnaires were virtually identical. The

- reliability and convergent validity were highly significant, with the Cronbach's alpha values in the
- 199 upper range. In addition, both ease of use and satisfaction of the informants was similar for both the 200 paper as well as the electronic versions, which provides strong support for the electronic application.
- 201 Both versions were completed in a similar amount of time, though marginally longer in the electronic
- 202 version, probably related to the lower familiarity with operating a computer versus the use of paper.
- 203 In both cases, it involved a reasonably short time.
- 204 This experience of dementia screening supported by a website available online is the first to use the 205 information from an informant. There are other experiences, but they are based on information 206 provided by the subject being evaluated, primarily based on the performance of cognitive tests moved 207 to the internet. Thus, Dougherty et al. [30] used a new battery of multi-domain cognitive tests with a 208 period of application lasting more than 15 minutes. Therefore, this requires a good level of 209 cooperation from the subject being evaluated. Brandt et al. [31] also use for this purpose an episodic 210 memory test that is not yet validated. This involves a time for encoding information so requires the 211 subject to be evaluated as a collaborator. Wesnes et al, [32] have reported positive preliminary data 212 using a new cognitive battery of four tests validated in their paper version, but not online. The results 213 of these experiments are only partially comparable to that presented here, as they involve direct 214 evaluations of the subject rather than information gathered by a reliable informant, although all of
- them reinforce the idea that this type of screening is feasible and has acceptable predictive
- capabilities.

217 Our study has limitations such as the number of participants and the selection bias in relation to a 218 sample recruited in the medical setting. Also, the study was limited to patients with cognitive 219 symptoms, so all questionnaires had high values. We did not consider including a control group of 220 volunteers with no cognitive complaints as we expected that the visits to the webpage mainly will be 221 of people worried about initial cognitive symptoms. Although 10.8% of the informants saw the 222 patient every week, and this could derive in lower knowledge about the patient mental status, all the 223 informants fulfilled the requirement of the validated questionnaires, and the way they are usually 224 applied. However, in our opinion, these limitations do not invalidate the primary objective of the 225 study: to evaluate the possible differences between traditionally presented tests versus a test 226 conducted in an online platform. A limitation to transfer these results to the general population is the 227 difficulty to access internet in some socioeconomic levels. There is a cultural constraint for some 228 population groups that has been called digital illiterates. For this reason, our study evaluates tests 229 designed for caregivers and relatives of patients who often have younger age and more access to the 230 internet. However, we believe that limited access to the internet will become less important in the 231 future, even for elderly populations. Although the work was performed long before the COVID-19 232 pandemics, it raises more importance of the availability of web-based questionnaires minimizing in-233 office consultations. The electronic version of the questionnaire proposed in this work might add a 234 new useful tool for the becoming years as this pandemic, or others to come, will change our 235 interpersonal and patient-doctor relationships.

236 In conclusion, the electronic versions of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires presented on the

- 237 website www.problemasmemoria.com constitute a valid and reliable method, comparable to the
- 238 paper versions for dementia and cognitive impairment detection, with high rates of acceptability by
- 239 informants evaluating the subjects, who perform this activity in a reasonably short time. These results

- 240 warrant further studies to validate the diagnostic performance of the electronic versions administered
- on-line and their contribution to reduce the time to diagnosis and improve early detection of AD and
- 242 other dementias.

243 **Conflict of Interest**

- The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

246 Author Contributions

- All the authors contributed to the conceptualization, methodology design, and review of the analysis
- of the study data. García Ribas G drafted the manuscript, all other authors contributed to the final
 form and approved the manuscript.
- 249 Torm and approved the manus

250 Funding

CEAFA Spanish Confederation of Associations of Families of People with Alzheimer's disease and
 other dementias sponsored the study.

253 Acknowledgments

- 254 This research was an initiative of CEAFA Spanish Confederation of Associations of Families of
- 255 People with Alzheimer's disease and other dementias who sponsored the study

256 **Contribution to the Field Statement**

- 257 This is the first study to compare an informant-based method of cognitive impairment screening on
- 258 paper with its online version. The electronic versions of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires
- 259 presented on the website www.problemasmemoria.com constitute a valid and reliable method,
- 260 comparable to the paper versions for dementia and cognitive impairment detection, with high rates of
- acceptability by informants evaluating the subjects, who perform this activity in a reasonably short
- time. These results warrant further studies to validate the diagnostic performance of the electronic
- 263 versions administered on-line and their contribution to reduce the time to diagnosis and improve
- 264 early detection of AD and other dementias.

265 **References**

- [1] WHO, ADI. Dementia: a public health priority. Geneve: World Health Organization; 2012.
 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/75263
- 268 [2] Luchsinger JA, Reitz C, Honig LS, Tang MX, Shea S, Mayeux R. Aggregation of vascular risk
- 269 factors and risk of incident Alzheimer disease. Neurology (2005) 65: 545-551. doi:
- 270 https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000172914.08967.dc
- [3] Nowrangi MA, Rao V, Lyketsos CG. Epidemiology, assessment, and treatment of dementia.
 Psychiatr Clin North Am (2011) 34: 275-294. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2011.02.004
- [4] Batsch NL, Mittelman MS. World Alzheimer Report 2012. Overcoming the stigma of dementia.
 London: Alzheimer's Disease International; 2012.
 https://www.alzint.org/u/WorldAlzheimerReport2012.pdf
- [5] Lliffe S, Manthorpe J. The hazards of early recognition of dementia: a risk assessment. Aging Ment
 Health (2004) 8: 99-105. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/13607860410001649653

- [6] Woods RT, Moniz-Cook E, Iliffe S, Campion P, Vernooij-Dassen M, Zanetti O, et al. Dementia:
 issues in early recognition and intervention in primary care. J R Soc Med (2003) 96: 320-324.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.96.7.320
- [7] Risacher SL, Saykin AJ. Neuroimaging and other biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease: the changing
 landscape of early detection. Annu Rev Clin Psychol (2013) 9: 621-648. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185535
- [8] Stefani A, Olivola E, Bassi MS, Pisani V, Imbriani P, Pisani A, et al. Strength and Weaknesses of
 Cerebrospinal Fluid Biomarkers in Alzheimer's Disease and Possible Detection of Overlaps
 with Frailty Process. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets (2013) 12: 538-546. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.2174/1871527311312040016
- [9] Weir DR, Wallace RB, Langa KM, Plassman BL, Wilson RS, Bennett DA, et al. Reducing case
 ascertainment costs in U.S. population studies of Alzheimer's disease, dementia, and cognitive
 impairment-Part 1. Alzheimers Dement (2011) 7: 94-109. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2010.11.004
- [10] Degirmenci E, Degirmenci T, Duguncu Y, Yilmaz G. Cognitive insight in Alzheimer's disease,
 Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen (2013) 28: 263-268. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1533317513481089
- [11] Leicht H, Berwig M, Gertz HJ. Anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease: the role of impairment levels
 in assessment of insight across domains. J Int Neuropsychol Soc (2010) 16: 463-473. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617710000056
- [12] Lewis BD, Mills CS, Mohs RC, Hill J, Fillit H. Improving Early Recognition of Alzheimer's
 Disease: A Review of Telephonic Screening Tools. JCOM (2001) 8: 41-45.
- [13] Dougherty JH, Jr., Cannon RL, Nicholas CR, Hall L, Hare F, Carr E, et al. The computerized self test [CST]: an interactive, internet accessible cognitive screening test for dementia. J
 Alzheimers Dis (2010) 20: 185-195. doi: https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2010-1354
- [14] Bateman DR, Srinivas B, Emmett TW, Schleyer TK, Holden RJ, Hendrie HC, et al. Categorizing
 Health Outcomes and Efficacy of mHealth Apps for Persons with Cognitive Impairment: A
 Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res (2017) 19: e301. doi: https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.7814
- 306 [15] Schulz R, Martire LM. Family caregiving of persons with dementia: prevalence, health effects,
 307 and support strategies. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry (2000) 12: 240-249.
- [16] Cruz VT, Pais J, Teixeira A, Nunes B. The initial symptoms of Alzheimer disease: caregiver
 perception. Acta Med Port (2004) 17: 435-444.
- [17] Werner P. Correlates of family caregivers' knowledge about Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr
 Psychiatry (2001) 16: 32-38. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-1166(200101)16:1<32::aid-
 gps268>3.0.co;2-2
- [18] Jorm AF. A short form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly
 [IQCODE]: development and cross-validation. Psychol Med (1994) 24: 145-153. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1017/s003329170002691x
- [19] Galvin JE, Roe CM, Coats MA, Morris JC. Patient's Rating of Cognitive Ability. Using the AD8,
 a Brief Informant Interview, as a Self-rating Tool to Detect Dementia. Arch Neurol (2007)
 64:725-730. doi: https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.64.5.725
- [20] Carnero Pardo C, de la Vega Cotarelo R, López Alcalde S, Martos Aparicio C, Vílchez Carrillo
 R, Mora Gavilán E, et al. Assessing the diagnostic accuracy [DA] of the Spanish version of the
 informant-based AD8 questionnaire. Neurologia (2013) 12: 538-546.
- 322 [21] Morales Gonzalez JM, Gonzalez-Montalvo JI, Del Ser QT, Bermejo PF. Validation of the S 323 IQCODE: the Spanish version of the informant questionnaire on cognitive decline in the
 address elderly. Arch Neurobiol (1992) 55: 262-266.
- 325 [22] Morales JM, Gonzalez-Montalvo JI, Bermejo F, Del Ser T. The screening of mild dementia with
 326 a shortened Spanish version of the "Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the

- 327Elderly".AlzheimerDisAssocDisord(1995)9:105-111.doi:328https://doi.org/10.1097/00002093-199509020-00008
- [23] Del-Ser T, Morales JM, Barquero MS, Cantón R, Bermejo F. Application of a Spanish version of
 the "Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly" in the clinical assessment of
 dementia. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord (1997) 11: 3-8. doi: https://doi.org/10.1097/00002093 199703000-00002
- 333 [24] Tierney MC, Fisher RH, Lewis AJ, Zorzitto ML, Snow WG, Reid DW, et al. The NINCDS-334 ADRDA Work Group criteria for the clinical diagnosis of probable Alzheimer's disease: a 335 clinicopathologic study of cases. Neurology 38: 359-364. 57 (1988)doi: 336 https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.38.3.359
- [25] Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, Ivnik J, Tangalos EG Kokmen E. Mild cognitive
 impairment. Arch Neurol (1999) 56: 303-308. doi: https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.56.3.303
- [26] Lischka AR, Mendelsohn M, Overend T, Forbes D. A systematic review of screening tools
 for predicting the development of dementia. Can J Aging (2012) 31: 295-311. doi:
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0714980812000220</u>.
- [27] Quinn TJ, Fearon P, Noel-Storr AH, Young C, McShane R, Stott DJ. Informant Questionnaire
 on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) for the detection of dementia within
 community dwelling populations. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;7(7):Cd010079. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010079.pub3.
- [28] Burton JK, Fearon P, Noel-Storr AH, McShane R, Stott DJ, Quinn TJ. Informant
 Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) for the detection of dementia
 within a secondary care setting. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021;7(7):Cd010772. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010772.pub3.
- [29] Hendry K, Green C, McShane R, Noel-Storr AH, Stott DJ, Anwer S, et al. AD-8 for detection
 of dementia across a variety of healthcare settings. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
 2019;3(3):Cd011121. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011121.pub2.
- [30] Dougherty JH Jr, Cannon RL, Nicholas CR, Hall L, Hare F, Carr E, et al. The computerized
 self-test [CST]: an interactive, internet accessible cognitive screening test for dementia. J
 Alzheimers Dis (2010) 20: 185-195. doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0714980812000220
- [31] Brandt J, Rogerson M. Preliminary findings from an internet-based dementia risk assessment.
 Alzheimers Dement (2011) 7: 1-4. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2010.08.229
- [32] Wesnes KA, Brooker H, Ballard C, McCambrigde L, Stenton R, Corbett A. Utility, reliability,
 sensitivity and validity of an online test system designed to monitor changes in cognitive
 function in clinical trials. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry (2017) 32: e83-e92. doi:
- 361 https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4659

			Mean (SD)*
Mean score (range of scores, from $1 = he/she$ has improved much to $5 = he/she$ has become much worse)		Electronic IQCODE Paper IQCODE	4.08 (0.65) 4.05 (0.64)
Total score IQCODE (maximum 100)		Electronic IQCODE Paper IQCODE	71.39 (20.39) 70.24 (21.56)
Total score AD8 (maximum 8)		Electronic AD8 Paper AD8	5.66 (2.36) 5.70 (2.21)
Ease of use (range from 1 = not easy at all to 5 = very easy)		Electronic IQCODE Paper IQCODE	3.88 (0.92) 3.95 (0.84)
		Electronic AD8 Paper AD8	4.06 (0.79) 4.07 (0.73)
Completion time for electronic IQCODE Completion time for paper IQCODE		0 0	3:32 min (01:3 3:08 min (01:0
Completion time for electronic AD8 Completion time for paper AD8		0 0	1:41 min (00:5 1:44 min (00:5
Reliability of the electronic and paper versions of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires	Cronbach's Alpha		
	Electronic IQCODE Paper IQCODE	0.95 0.96	
	Electronic AD8 Paper AD8		0.79 0.75

Table 1. Summary statistics of the total scores of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires, ease of use, completion times and reliability.

- 364 *P>0.05 for all the electronic versus paper versions comparisons.
- 365

366

	Paper AD8 Total Score	Paper IQCODE Sum Score	Paper IQCODE Total Score
Electronic AD8 Total score	0.96(*)		
Electronic IQCODE Sum Score		0.98(*)	
Electronic IQCODE Total score			0.98(*)

367 Table 2. Spearman's correlation between the versions of the IQCODE and AD8 questionnaires.

368 * The correlation is significant at two-tailed p-value of 0.01